Application No. Applicant(s) 10/663,456 HEDIN ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit John M. Hotaling II 3714 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) John M. Hotaling II. (3) Jim Stelzer. (2) John Simmons. (4) Stephen Murray. Date of Interview: 16 August 2007. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: Independent claims. Identification of prior art discussed: that used in the rejection. Agreement with respect to the claims $f(x) \boxtimes x$ was reached. $f(x) \boxtimes x$ was not reached. $f(x) \boxtimes x$ Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant amended the claims to overcome the art used in the rejection. The examiner agreed that the rejection has been overcome and stated that another search would have to be performed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. JOHN M. HOTALING, II EXAMINER Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required